

United States Patent and Trademark Offici

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/525,477	03/15/2000	Hisashi Miyazawa	Q58280	9792	
75	90 04/12/2002				
Sughrue Mion Zinn MacPeak & Seas PLLC			EXAMINER		
	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3202			NGUYEN, JUDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2861		
		DATE MAILED: 04/12/2002	' AD		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTY, DOCKET NO./TITLE

DATE MAILED:

	NOTICE OF IMPROPER REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE)
	request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 filed on MARCH 4,2002 is oper for reason(s) indicated below:
1	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application for a design patent. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).
2	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application that was filed before June 8, 1995. Applicant may wish to consider filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) or a CPA under 37 CFR 1.53(d).
3	Continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to an application unless prosecution in the application is closed. If the RCE was accompanied by a reply to a non-final Office action, the reply will be entered and considered under 37 CFR 1.111. If the RCE was <u>not</u> accompanied by a reply, the time period set forth in the last Office action continues to run from the mailing date of that action.
4.	The request was not filed before payment of the issue fee, and no petition under 37 CFR 1.313 was granted. If this application has not yet issued as a patent, applicant may wish to consider filing either a petition under 37 CFR 1.313 to withdraw this application from issue, or a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b).
5.	The request was not filed before abandonment of the application. The application was abandoned, or proceedings terminated on Applicant may wish to consider filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 to revive this abandoned application.
6.	The request was not accompanied by the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.
7.	The request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. Since the application is not under appeal, the time period set forth in the final Office action or notice of allowance continues to run from the mailing date of that action or notice.

Note: If a request for a continued prosecution application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) has been filed in the utility or plant application (including a previously filed CPA) that was filed on or after May 29, 2000, the request for a CPA has been treated as a RCE because the CPA practice no longer applies to such application. The constructive RCE, however, is improper for reason(s) indicated above.

A copy of this notice MUST be returned with any reply.

Direct the reply and any questions about this notice to:	
OSMALO-LOGAN, Examining Group	2800
(703) 30 8 - 9023 FORM PTO-2051 (Rev. 3/2001)	

If the submission is not a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply, the RCE should be treated as an improper RCE. Thus, a "Notice of Improper Request for Continued Examination (RCE)," Form PTO-2051, should be prepared by the technical support personnel and mailed to applicant indicating that the request was not accompanied by a submission as required by 37 CFR 1.114. The RCE will **not** toll the period for reply and the application will be abandoned after the expiration of the statutory period for reply if no submission complying with 37 CFR 1.111 is filed. For example, if reply to a final Office action is outstanding and the submission only includes an information disclosure statement (IDS), the submission (*i.e.*, the IDS) will **not** be considered a *bona fide* attempt to provide a complete reply to the final Office action and the period for reply will **not** be tolled.